## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

EDWARD WALSH, : CASE NO:

:

Plaintiff, : CIVIL ACTION

vs.

**COMPLAINT** 

ROD'S OLDE IRISH ALE HOUSE, INC., a New Jersey corporation, d/b/a ROD'S OLDE IRISH TAVERN, and FRANCIS W. KINEAVY, an individual,

:

Defendants.

Plaintiff, EDWARD WALSH (hereinafter the "Plaintiff"), through his undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint and sues ROD'S OLDE IRISH ALE HOUSE, INC., a New Jersey corporation, d/b/a ROD'S OLDE IRISH TAVERN, and FRANCIS W. KINEAVY, an individual, (hereinafter, collectively, the "Defendants"), for injunctive relief, attorney's fees and costs (including, but not limited to, court costs and expert fees) pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181, et. seq., ("AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT" or "ADA") and N.J.S.A. 10:5-1 et. seq., ("NEW JERSEY LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION" or "LAD") and alleges:

## **JURISDICTION AND PARTIES**

- 1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to Title III of the Americans With Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12181, et. seq., (hereinafter referred to as the "ADA"). This Court is vested with original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §343.
- 2. Venue is proper in this Court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(B) in that all events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in New Jersey.

- 3. The remedies provided by the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination are not exclusive and state administrative remedies need not be exhausted in connection with suits brought under the federal Civil Rights Act.
- 4. At the time of Plaintiff's visit and/or attempted visit to ROD'S OLDE IRISH TAVERN, prior to instituting the instant action, EDWARD WALSH (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), was a resident of New Jersey, residing at 29 Amanda Lane, Howell, NJ 07731, Plaintiff suffered from what constitutes a "qualified disability" under the Americans With Disability Act of 1990, as he is quadriplegic, due to a spinal cord injury, and uses an electric wheelchair for mobility. The Plaintiff personally visited Defendants' Property, but was denied full and equal access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the facilities within Defendants' Property, which is the subject of this lawsuit.
- 5. The Defendants, ROD'S OLDE IRISH ALE HOUSE, INC., a New Jersey corporation, d/b/a ROD'S OLDE IRISH TAVERN, and FRANCIS W. KINEAVY, an individual, are authorized to conduct, and are conducting business within the State of New Jersey. Upon information and belief, ROD'S OLDE IRISH ALE HOUSE, INC., a New Jersey corporation, d/b/a ROD'S OLDE IRISH TAVERN, is the owner, lessee and/or operator of the real property (the "Subject Facility"), and the owner of the improvements where the Subject Facility is located which is the subject of this action, the facility commonly referred to as ROD'S OLDE IRISH TAVERN located at 507 Washington Boulevard, Sea Girt, New Jersey (hereinafter and heretofore referred to collectively as "Defendants' Property"), maintains and controls the Subject Facility. Upon information and belief, FRANCIS W. KINEAVY, an individual, is the owner, lessor and/or operator of the real property where the Subject Facility is located which is the subject of this action, the

2

restaurant commonly referred to as ROD'S OLDE IRISH TAVERN, located at 507 Washington Boulevard, Sea Girt, New Jersey, and maintains and controls the subject real property.

6. All events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the City of Sea Girt, Monmouth County, State of New Jersey. Venue is proper in this Court as the premises is located in the State of New Jersey

## COUNT I – VIOLATIONS OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

- 7. On or about July 26, 1990, Congress enacted the Americans With Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §12101, et. seq. Commercial enterprises were provided one and a half years from enactment of the statute to implement its requirements. The effective date of the Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992. 42 U.S.C. §12181; 20 C.F.R. §36.508(a).
  - 8. Congress found, among other things, that:
    - (i) some 43,000,000 Americans have one or more physical or mental disabilities, and this number shall increase as the population continues to grow older;
    - (ii) historically, society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities, and, despite some improvements, such forms of discrimination against disabled individuals continue to be a pervasive social problem, requiring serious attention;
    - (iii) discrimination against disabled individuals persists in such critical areas as employment, housing, public accommodations, transportation, communication, recreation, institutionalization, health services, voting and access to public services and public facilities;
    - (iv) individuals with disabilities continually suffer forms of discrimination, including outright intentional exclusion, the discriminatory effects of architectural, transportation, and communication barriers, failure to make modifications to existing facilities and practices. Exclusionary qualification standards and

3

criteria, segregation, and regulation to lesser services, programs, benefits, or other opportunities; and,

(v) the continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary discrimination and prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an equal basis and to pursue those opportunities for which our country is justifiably famous, and costs the United States billions of dollars in unnecessary expenses resulting from dependency and non-productivity.

42 U.S.C. §12101(a)(1)-(3), (5) and (9).

- 9. Congress explicitly stated that the purpose of the ADA was to:
  - (i) provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities;
  - (ii) provide clear, strong, consistent, enforceable standards addressing discrimination against individuals with disabilities; and,
  - (iii) invoke the sweep of congressional authority, including the power to enforce the fourteenth amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of discrimination faced on a daily by people with disabilities.

42 U.S.C. §12101(b)(1)(2) and (4).

- 10. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181(7) and 28 C.F.R. §36.104, Defendants' Property, is a place of public accommodation in that it is a restaurant which provides, entertainment, food, beverages and other services to the public.
- 11. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181(7) and 28 C.F.R. §36.104, the building and/or Subject Facility which is the subject of this action is a public accommodation covered by the ADA and which must be in compliance therewith.
- 12. The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that the Subject Facility has begun operations and/or undergone substantial remodeling, repairs and/or alterations since January 26, 1990.

- 13. Defendants have discriminated, and continue to discriminate, against the Plaintiff, and others who are similarly situated, by denying full and equal access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations at Defendants' Property, in derogation of 42 U.S.C. §12101, et. seq., and as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. §12182, et. seq., and by failing to remove architectural barriers pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12182(b)(2)(a)(iv), where such removal is readily achievable.
- 14. The Plaintiff has been unable to, and continues to be unable to, enjoy full and equal safe access to, and the benefits of, all the accommodations and services offered at Defendants' Property. Prior to the filing of this lawsuit, Plaintiff personally visited and/or attempted to visit Defendants' Property, with the intention of using Defendants' facilities, but was denied full and safe access to the facilities of Defendants' Property, and therefore suffered an injury in fact. In addition, Plaintiff continues to desire to visit Defendants' Property in the future, but continues to be injured in that he is unable to and continues to be discriminated against due to the architectural barriers which remain at Defendants' Property, all in violation of the ADA.
- 15. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. §12134(a), on July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, promulgated Federal Regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA, known as the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (hereinafter "ADAAG"), 28 C.F.R. Part 36, under which said Department may obtain civil penalties of up to \$110,000 for the first violation and \$150,000 for any subsequent violation.

- 16. The Defendants' Subject Facility is in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12181, et. seq., the ADA and 28 C.F.R. §36.302, et. seq., and is discriminating against the Plaintiff as a result of inter alia, the following specific violations:
  - (i) Failure to provide an accessible main entrance, due to steps at said entrance, without an ADA compliant ramp and/or wheelchair lift, in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.14.
  - (ii) Failure to provide an accessible east entrance, due to steps at said entrance, without an ADA compliant ramp and/or wheelchair lift, in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.14.
  - (iii) Failure to provide a safe and accessible means of egress from the Subject Facility, in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.3.10.
  - (iv) The bar, with fixed footstand, is higher than 34 inches above the finish floor, in violation of the requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 5.2 which requires a maximum height of the counter, or a 60 inch wide section of it, to be 34 inches above the finish floor.
  - (v) Failure to provide access to all booths located on raised platform in bar area, due to step to said booths area, without an ADA compliant ramp and/or wheelchair lift, in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Sections 4.3
  - (vi) The tables in bar area are at inaccessible heights, in violation of 28C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.32.4.
  - (vii) Failure to modify the restroom in the bar area for accessibility, including, but not limited to, rearranging walls and doors to provide sufficient accessibility, maneuvering space and turn radius in the

6

- restroom, for individuals with disabilities, as required by 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.17.
- (viii) Failure to provide an accessible door handle at the restroom entrance in bar area, that can be opened with a closed fist and does not require tight grasping, tight pinching, or twisting of the wrist to operate in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.13.9.
- (ix) Failure to install the required side grab bar in the restroom in bar area, around an accessible toilet, in accordance with 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.17.6.
- (x) Failure to install the required rear grab bar in the restroom in bar area, around an accessible toilet, in accordance with 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.17.6.
- (xi) Failure to modify the restroom in bar area for accessibility, including, failing to install a stall-type or wall-hung urinal with elongated rim at a maximum height of 17 inches above the finish floor in accordance with 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.18.2.
- (xii) Failure to install an accessible mirror in the restroom in bar area, in compliance with 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.19.6.
- (xiii) Failure to provide an accessible ADA compliant lavatory in the restroom in bar area, in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.19.1.
- (xiv) Failure to provide a paper towel dispenser in the restroom in the bar area at an accessible height, as required by 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.2.

- (xv) Failure to insulate exposed drain pipes under the sink in the restroom in the bar area, to prevent burns, as required by 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.19.
- (xvi) Failure to provide an accessible ADA compliant toilet in the restroom in bar area, in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.17.
- (xvii) Failure to modify stall in the restroom in the main dining area for accessibility, including, but not limited to, rearranging walls and doors to provide sufficient accessibility, maneuvering space and turn radius in restroom, for individuals with disabilities, as required by 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.17.
- (xviii) Failure to provide an accessible door handle at the restroom entrance in the main dining area, that can be opened with a closed fist and does not require tight grasping, tight pinching, or twisting of the wrist to operate in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.13.9.
- (xix) Failure to install the required side grab bar in the restroom in the main dining area around an accessible toilet, in accordance with 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.17.6.
- (xx) Failure to install the required rear grab bar in the restroom in the dining area, around an accessible toilet, in accordance with 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.17.6.
- (xxi) Failure to provide at least 17 inches depth clearance under the lavatory in the restroom in the main dining area, as required by 28 CF.R. Part 36, Section 4.19.2.

- (xxii) Failure to provide the required underside clearance of at least 29 inches above the finish floor to the bottom of the apron under the lavatory in the restroom for accessibility in compliance with 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.1 and Section 4.19.2.
- (xxiii) Failure to modify the restroom in the main dining area for accessibility, including, failing to install a stall-type or wall-hung urinal with elongated rim at a maximum height of 17 inches above the finish floor in accordance with 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.18.2.
- (xxiv) Failure to provide accessible sink handles in the restroom in the main dining area, that can be opened with a closed fist and does not require tight grasping, tight pinching, or twisting of the wrist to operate in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.13.9.
- (xxv) Failure to install an accessible mirror in the restroom in the main dining area, in compliance with 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.19.6.
- (xxvi) Failure to provide a paper towel dispenser in the restroom in the main dining area, at an accessible height as required by 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.2.
- (xxvii) Failure to provide an accessible soap dispenser in the restroom in the main dining area, as required by 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.2.
- (xxviii)Failure to insulate exposed drain pipes under lavatory in the restroom in the main dining area, to prevent burns, as required by 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.19.
- (xxix) Failure to provide ADA compliant signage at the restroom in the main dining area, in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.30.

- (xxx) Failure to provide ADA compliant signage at the restroom in bar area, in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.30.
- (xxxi) Failure to provide ADA compliant signage at the emergency exit, in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.30.
- (xxxii) Failure to provide an accessible rear emergency exit, due to multiple steps to said emergency exit, in violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.3.10.
- (xxxiii)Failure to provide an adequate number of accessible handicap parking spaces at the Subject Facility that comply with the requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.6.3, and are located on the shortest route of travel from adjacent parking to the Subject Facility, as required by 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.6.2, as well as compliant pathway from said handicap parking spaces to the Subject Facility's entrance.
- (xxxiv)Failure to provide one in every eight accessible parking spaces, but not less than one, with an accessible 96 inch wide minimum, with the designation of "van accessible", as required by 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Section 4.6.4 and Section 4.1.2(5)(b).
- 17. Upon information and belief, there are other current violations of the ADA at Defendants' Property, and only once a full inspection is done can all said violations be identified.
- 18. To date, the readily achievable barriers and other violations of the ADA still exist and have not been remedied or altered in such a way as to effectuate compliance with the provisions of the ADA.

- 19. Pursuant to the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq., and 28 C.F.R. §36.304, the Defendants were required to make the Subject Facility, a place of public accommodation, accessible to persons with disabilities since January 28, 1992. To date, the Defendants have failed to comply with this mandate.
- 20. The Plaintiff has been obligated to retain undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action. The Plaintiff is entitled to have his reasonable attorney's fees, costs and expenses paid by the Defendants, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12205.
- 21. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12188, this Court is vested with the authority to grant the Plaintiff's injunctive relief; including an order to alter the subject facilities to make them readily accessible to, and useable by, individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA, and closing the subject facilities until the requisite modifications are completed.

## COUNT II - VIOLATION OF THE NEW JERSEY LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION

- 22. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-21 as if they were expressly stated herein.
- 23. The New Jersey LAD provides that: "[i]t shall be ... an unlawful discrimination ... [f]or any owner, proprietor, superintendent, agent, or employee of any place of public accommodation, directly or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to any person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof or to discriminate against any person in the furnishing thereof...."
- 24. The New Jersey LAD further provides that: "All of the provisions of the act to which this act is a supplement shall be construed to prohibit any unlawful

discrimination against any person because such person is or has been at any time handicapped...."

- 25. The New Jersey LAD further provides that: "A place of public accommodation' shall include, but not be limited to: any tavern or services of any kind; any restaurant, eating house, or place where food is sold for consumption of the premises; ... other place of amusement....".
- 26. Defendants' Property is a place of public accommodation as defined in the LAD.
- 27. The New Jersey LAD further provides that: "All remedies available in common law tort actions shall be available to prevailing plaintiffs. These remedies are in addition to any provided by this act or any other statute."
- 28. The New Jersey LAD further provides that anyone who violates the LAD is liable for penalties no to exceed \$10,000, in addition to any other relief or affirmative action provided by law.
- 29. Plaintiff visited Defendants' Property, but was unable to equally access the goods, services, and accommodations therein due to the architectural barriers set forth above in this Complaint.
- 30. Plaintiff continues to desire to visit Defendants' Property again, but continues to experience serious difficulty due to the barriers set forth herein which, upon information and belief, still exist.
- 31. By maintaining architectural barriers and policies that discriminate against people with disabilities and through the other actions described hereinabove, Defendants have directly and/or indirectly refused, withheld from, and denied to Plaintiff the full and equal enjoyment of their place of accommodation because of his disability.

32. Plaintiff has been damaged and will continue to be damaged by this discrimination.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff hereby demands judgment against the Defendants and requests the following injunctive and declaratory relief:

- A. The Court declare that the subject property and Subject Facility owned, operated, leased, controlled and/or administered by the Defendants are violative of the ADA and of the New Jersey LAD;
- B. The Court enter an Order requiring the Defendants to alter their facilities and amenities to make them accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by the Title III of the ADA and by the New Jersey LAD;
- C. The Court enter an Order directing the Defendants to evaluate and neutralize their policies, practices and procedures toward persons with disabilities, for such reasonable time so as to allow the Defendants to undertake and complete corrective procedures to the Subject Facility;
- D. The Court direct Defendants to pay a penalty to Plaintiff for their violation of the LAD in an amount not to exceed \$10,000.
- E. The Court award reasonable attorney's fees, all costs (including, but not limited to court costs and expert fees) and other expenses of suit, to the Plaintiff; and
- F. The Court award such other and further relief as it deems necessary, just and proper.

Dated: This 10th day of July, 2016.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /S/ Robert J. Mirel

Robert J. Mirel, Esq. NJ Attorney ID #000322001 THE WEITZ LAW FIRM, P.A. Attorney for Plaintiff 18305 Biscayne Blvd, Suite 214 Aventura, Florida 33160 Telephone: (305) 949-7777

Facsimile: (305) 704-3877 Email: rjm@weitzfirm.com